

Calculation on the Lifetime of Polarized Muons in Flight

Zhi-Qiang Shi¹, Guang-Jiong Ni^{2,3}

¹*Department of Physics, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an 710062, China*

email:zqshi@snnu.edu.cn

²*Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China*

³*Department of Physics, Portland State University, Portland OR 97207, USA*

email:pdx01018@pdx.edu

February 16, 2009

Abstract

The fermion lifetime was usually calculated in terms of spin states. However, after comparing spin states with helicity states and chirality states, it is pointed out that a spin state is helicity degenerate, and so it can not be used for discussion of the dependence of lifetime on the polarization of an initial-state fermion. Using helicity states, we calculate the lifetime of polarized muons. The result shows that the lifetime of right-handed polarized muons is always greater than that of left-handed polarized muons with the same speed in flight.

PACS numbers: 13.35.Bv; 11.55.-m; 11.30.Er; 12.15.-y

1 Introduction

In a previous Letter^[1] we have proposed the lifetime asymmetry of left-right handed polarized fermions. Based on parity violation in the standard model,

there exist only left-handed (LH) chirality states in charged weak currents. Therefore, in weak interaction not only the final-state fermions, but also the initial-state fermions should reveal the feature of longitudinal polarization. It results in that the right-handed (RH) polarized fermion lifetime τ_{Rh} is different from the LH polarized fermion lifetime τ_{Lh} , i.e.

$$\tau_{Rh} = \frac{\tau}{1 - \beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_{Lh} = \frac{\tau}{1 + \beta}, \quad (1)$$

where τ is the average lifetime, $\tau = \tau_0 / \sqrt{1 - \beta^2}$, in which β is the velocity of the fermions and τ_0 is the lifetime in its rest frame. τ_{Rh} and τ_{Lh} transform to each other under a space inversion. It is shown that the lifetime of RH polarized fermions is always greater than that of LH polarized fermions in flight with the same speed in any inertial frame. In this Letter, the lifetime asymmetry will be further investigated. We will discuss the relation among spin states, helicity states and chirality states before calculating the lifetime of polarized muons concretely.

2 Spin State, Helicity State and Chirality State

2.1 Spin State

There exist three kinds of spinor wave functions, i.e., spin states, helicity states and chirality states. The spin states are the plane wave solutions of Dirac equation, and in momentum representation for a given four-momentum p and mass m , the positive energy solution and the negative energy solution are respectively

$$u_s(p) = \sqrt{\frac{E_p + m}{2E_p}} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_s \\ \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{E_p + m} \varphi_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

$$v_s(p) = \sqrt{\frac{E_p + m}{2E_p}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{E_p + m} \varphi_s \\ \varphi_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where $s = 1, 2$ and φ_s are Pauli spin wave functions. The state with $s = 1$ is spin up while the state with $s = 2$ is spin down. They are eigenstates of operator, $\frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}(p) \cdot \mathbf{e}}{m}$, with eigenvalues ± 1 , namely

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}(p) \cdot \mathbf{e}}{m} u_s(p) = \begin{cases} u_s(p), & (s = 1) \\ -u_s(p). & (s = 2) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The $\omega(p)$ is the Pauli-Lubanski covariant spin vector and e is the four-polarization vector in the form

$$e_\alpha = \begin{cases} e^0 + \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^0}{m(E_p + m)}, & (\alpha = 1, 2, 3) \\ i \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}^0}{m}, & (\alpha = 4) \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

which is normalized ($e^2 = 1$), orthogonal to p ($e \cdot p = 0$). In the rest frame e reduces to $e^0 = (\mathbf{e}^0, 0) = (0, 0, 1, 0)$. In application it is frequently necessary to evaluate spin sums in the form

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(p) &= \rho_+ \Lambda_+(p), & P_2(p) &= \rho_- \Lambda_+(p), \\ P_3(p) &= \rho_+ \Lambda_-(p), & P_4(p) &= \rho_- \Lambda_-(p). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The $\Lambda_+(p)$ and $\Lambda_-(p)$ are the positive energy projection operator and the negative energy projection operator,

$$\Lambda_+(p) = \frac{-i \gamma \cdot p + m}{2E_p}, \quad \Lambda_-(p) = \frac{i \gamma \cdot p + m}{2E_p}, \quad (7)$$

respectively and ρ_\pm are spin projection operators:

$$\rho_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm i \gamma_5 \gamma \cdot e). \quad (8)$$

The plus sign refers to $s = 1$ and the minus sign to $s = 2$. We have eigenvalue equations

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_+ u_1(p) &= u_1(p), & \rho_- u_2(p) &= u_2(p), \\ \rho_- u_1(p) &= \rho_+ u_2(p) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

One sees that the operator $P_1(p)$ project out the positive energy state with spin up and $P_2(p)$ the positive energy state with spin down, whereas the operator $P_3(p)$ the negative energy state with spin down and $P_4(p)$ the negative energy state with spin up in its rest frame.

2.2 Helicity State

A helicity state is the eigenstate of the helicity of fermions. If spinor φ is taken as the eigenstate of the spin component along the direction of its motion,

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{|\mathbf{p}|} \varphi_h = h \varphi_h, \quad h = \pm 1 \quad (10)$$

then the helicity states read

$$u_h(p) = \sqrt{\frac{E_p + m}{2E_p}} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_h \\ \frac{h|\mathbf{p}|}{E_p + m} \varphi_h \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11)$$

The state with $h = +1$ is the RH helicity state while the state with $h = -1$ is the LH helicity state. The projection operators of the helicity states are^[2]

$$\rho_h = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 \pm \frac{\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{|\mathbf{p}|} \right). \quad (12)$$

We can see that the helicity state is entirely different from the spin state, the helicity eigenvalue is not Lorentz invariant and the projection operator of helicity state is essentially a two-component operator. From Eqs. (2), (3) and (4) we can find out that a spin state with the same s but different values of h is helicity degenerate and so it can not uniquely describe the helicity of fermions. The eigenvalue equations (9) depend only on the quantum number s and remain valid for an arbitrary helicity state ($h = -1$ or $+1$). It implies that the spin projection operators ρ_{\pm} can only project out the states which in its rest frame have spin $s = 1$ and 2 , respectively. Strictly speaking, only in the rest frame can the four-polarization vector e and the eigenvalue equation (4) be most unambiguously defined^[3]. Taking the simplest case of $\mathbf{p} : \mathbf{p} = p_z$, which does not lose the universality of problem, we have the LH helicity state u_{Lh} and the RH helicity state u_{Rh} , respectively

$$u_{Lh}(p) = \sqrt{\frac{E_p + m}{2E_p}} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_2 \\ \frac{-|\mathbf{p}|}{E_p + m} \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

$$u_{Rh}(p) = \sqrt{\frac{E_p + m}{2E_p}} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{E_p + m} \varphi_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Even so comparing Eq. (8) with Eq. (12) one can also see that the projection operator of spin state is different from that of helicity state though the spin state and the helicity state are formally identical when $\mathbf{p} = p_z$. Hence we reach a conclusion that the polarization of fermions must be described by the helicity states which are closely related to directly observable quantity experimentally.

2.3 Chirality State

The chirality states are the eigenstates of chirality operator γ_5 . The LH chirality state and the RH chirality state are defined as, respectively

$$u_{LS}(p) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_5)u_s(p), \quad u_{RS}(p) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5)u_s(p). \quad (15)$$

In general, chirality states are different from helicity states. Only if $m = 0$ (for example neutrinos) or $E \gg m$ (in the ultrarelativistic limit) the fermions satisfy Weyl equation^[1,4], the spinor φ_s must then be taken to be eigenstates of helicity operator h and the polarization is always in the direction of motion^[5]. In other words, for $m = 0$ the helicity states, the chirality states and spin states are identical, i.e.

$$u_{Lh}^W(p) = u_{L2}^W(p) = u_2^W(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_2 \\ -\varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

$$u_{Rh}^W(p) = u_{R1}^W(p) = u_1^W(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

The superscript W refers to it being a solution of Weyl equation.

The helicity states u_{Lh} and u_{Rh} in Eqs. (13) and (14) can be expanded as linear combination of chirality states, respectively

$$\begin{aligned} u_{Lh}(p) &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_5) u_{Lh}(p) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5) u_{Lh}(p) \\ &= C_{LL} u_{L2}^0 + C_{LR} u_{R2}^0, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$u_{Rh}(p) = C_{RL} u_{L1}^0 + C_{RR} u_{R1}^0, \quad (19)$$

where u_{LS}^0 and u_{RS}^0 are chirality states in the rest frame,

$$u_{LS}^0 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_s \\ -\varphi_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad u_{RS}^0 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_s \\ \varphi_s \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

It can be seen from Eqs. (18) and (19) that the decompositions of helicity states do not possess Lorentz invariance and the \mathbf{p} dependence has been

shifted to the coefficients C_{LL} , C_{LR} , C_{RL} and C_{RR} as given by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{LL} = C_{RR} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_p}}(\sqrt{E_p + m} + \sqrt{E_p - m}) \\ &= \sqrt{1 + \beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{RL} = C_{LR} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_p}}(\sqrt{E_p + m} - \sqrt{E_p - m}) \\ &= \sqrt{1 - \beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

It is obvious from Eqs. (18) and (19) that in a LH helicity state $u_{Lh}(p)$ the coefficient C_{LL} is the amplitude of LH chirality state u_{L2}^0 and the C_{LR} that of RH chirality state u_{R2}^0 ; while in a RH helicity state $u_{Rh}(p)$ the C_{RL} that of LH chirality state u_{L1}^0 and the C_{RR} that of RH chirality state u_{R1}^0 in its rest frame.

3 The lifetime of polarized muons

Now let us consider a μ decay process

$$\mu^- \rightarrow e^- + \nu_\mu + \bar{\nu}_e. \quad (23)$$

The lowest order decay rate or lifetime τ for muon decays, based on the perturbation theory of weak interactions, is given by

$$\tau^{-1} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5} \int d^3q d^3k d^3k' \delta^4(p - q - k - k') M^2. \quad (24)$$

3.1 The lifetime of unpolarized muons

If the muons are unpolarized and if we do not observe the polarization of final-state fermions, then the transition matrix element is given by averaging over the muon spin and summing over all final fermion spins:

$$\begin{aligned} M^2 &= \frac{G^2}{2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s,s',r,r'=1}^2 [\bar{u}_{s'}(q) \gamma_\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) v_{r'}(k')]^2 \\ &\quad \times [\bar{u}_r(k) \gamma_\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) u_s(p)]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where p , q , k and k' are 4-momenta, while s , s' , r and r' are spin indices for μ , e , ν_μ and $\bar{\nu}_e$, respectively. For the convenience of discussion below, in Eq. (25) we set

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^2 \sum_{r=1}^2 [\bar{u}_r(k) \gamma_\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) u_s(p)]^2, \quad (26)$$

which is related to the muons. By means of Eqs. (6) and (8), the evaluations of spin sums are reduced to the calculation of projection operators:

$$\sum_{s=1}^2 u_s(p) \bar{u}_s(p) = \sum_{s=1}^2 P_s(p) = \Lambda_+(p), \quad (27)$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^2 v_s(p) \bar{v}_s(p) = - \sum_{s=1}^2 P_s(p) = -\Lambda_-(p). \quad (28)$$

One sees that the explicit evaluations of spin projection operators disappear. Applying Eqs. (27), (28) and (7) as well as the trace theorems we obtain

$$M^2 = \frac{4 G^2 (p \cdot k') (q \cdot k)}{E_p E_q E_k E_{k'}}. \quad (29)$$

Substituting Eq. (29) into Eq. (24), one has

$$\tau^{-1} = \frac{4 G^2}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{1}{E_p} \int \frac{d^3 q}{E_q} \frac{d^3 k}{E_k} \frac{d^3 k'}{E_{k'}} \delta^4(p - q - k - k') \mathcal{F}, \quad (30)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F} = (p \cdot k') (q \cdot k). \quad (31)$$

Obviously decay amplitude \mathcal{F} is a Lorentz-invariant matrix element. Therefore we have

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^0 = (p^0 \cdot k')(q \cdot k), \quad p^0 = (0, 0, 0, im_\mu) \quad (32)$$

where \mathcal{F}^0 is \mathcal{F} in the muon rest frame.

The integration to the right of E_p^{-1} in Eq. (30) is a Lorentz scalar, and we see that the lifetime is proportional to the energy E_p as required by special relativity^[6]. So that the lifetime is not a Lorentz scalar.

Starting from Eq. (30) and neglecting electron mass, the muon lifetime in its rest frame is given by

$$\tau_0^{-1} = \frac{G^2 m_\mu^5}{192 \pi^3}, \quad (33)$$

where m_μ is muon mass. The τ_0 is the muon lifetime in its rest frame and defined as the muon lifetime in ordinary tables of particle properties. In an arbitrary frame the muon lifetime is given by

$$\tau^{-1} = \sqrt{1 - \beta^2} \tau_0^{-1}. \quad (34)$$

3.2 The lifetime of polarized muons expressed by spin states

For polarized muons the muon spin should not be averaged. In most literatures and textbooks^[7,8] the polarized states of fermions were usually expressed by the spin states (2) and (3). Instead of Eqs. (26) and (27), we have

$$I_s = \sum_{r=1}^2 [\bar{u}_r(k) \gamma_\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) u_s(p)]^2, \quad (35)$$

and

$$u_s(p) \bar{u}_s(p) = P_s(p) = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm i \gamma_5 \gamma \cdot e) \frac{(-i \gamma \cdot p + m_\mu)}{2E_p}, \quad (36)$$

respectively. Substituting Eq. (36) into (35) and Eq. (35) into (25) we obtain

$$M_s^2 = \frac{4 G^2 \mathcal{F}_s}{E_p E_q E_k E_{k'}}, \quad (37)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_s = (p \cdot k') (q \cdot k) \mp m_\mu (e \cdot k') (q \cdot k). \quad (38)$$

Obviously, decay amplitude \mathcal{F}_s is also a Lorentz scalar, like \mathcal{F} . Therefore we have

$$\mathcal{F}_s = \mathcal{F}_s^0 = (p^0 \cdot k') (q \cdot k) \mp m_\mu (e^0 \cdot k') (q \cdot k), \quad (39)$$

where \mathcal{F}_s^0 is \mathcal{F}_s in the muon rest frame.

In a similar way to Eq. (30), we obtain

$$\tau_s^{-1} = \frac{4 G^2}{(2\pi)^5} \frac{1}{E_p} \int \frac{d^3 q}{E_q} \frac{d^3 k}{E_k} \frac{d^3 k'}{E_{k'}} \delta^4(p - q - k - k') \mathcal{F}_s. \quad (40)$$

One easily verifies that the integration over the second term of decay amplitude \mathcal{F}_s vanishes. It is easy to see that the lifetime in the laboratory frame is certainly identical with the result (34), i.e., $\tau_s = \tau$, which does not exhibit any lifetime asymmetry.

3.3 The lifetime of polarized muons expressed by helicity states

As mentioned above, however, this method does not enable us to discuss the dependence of lifetime on the helicity of muons. Because a spin state is helicity degenerate, the polarization of muons must be described by helicity states. For LH polarized muons, substituting the spin states in Eq. (35) with the helicity states and considering the energy projection operator of helicity state is equal to that of spin state, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{Lh} &= \sum_h [\bar{u}_h(k) \gamma_\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) u_{Lh}(p)]^2 \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^2 [\bar{u}_r(k) \gamma_\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) u_{Lh}(p)]^2. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

From Eqs. (18), (21) and (15) we easily find

$$(1 + \gamma_5) u_{Lh}(p) = 2\sqrt{1 + \beta} u_{L2}^0 = \sqrt{1 + \beta} (1 + \gamma_5) u_2^0, \quad (42)$$

where u_2^0 is the spin state in the muon rest frame. One can see that the chirality-state projection operator $(1 + \gamma_5)$ picks out LH chirality state u_{L2}^0 in a LH helicity state, which is factorized into two parts in the second equation, one is the spin state u_2^0 and another is a factor $\sqrt{1 + \beta}$ which depends on muon's helicity. Substituting Eq. (42) into Eq. (41) we have

$$I_{Lh} = (1 + \beta) \sum_{r=1}^2 [\bar{u}_r(k) \gamma_\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) u_2^0]^2 \quad (43)$$

Comparing Eq. (43) with Eq. (35) and considering Eq. (39) we find out the decay amplitude of LH polarized muons

$$\mathcal{F}_{Lh} = (1 + \beta) \mathcal{F}_2^0 = (1 + \beta) \mathcal{F}_2. \quad (44)$$

Similarly, for RH polarized muons we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{Rh} &= \sum_h [\bar{u}_h(k) \gamma_\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) u_{Rh}(p)]^2 \\
&= (1 - \beta) \sum_{r=1}^2 [\bar{u}_r(k) \gamma_\lambda (1 + \gamma_5) u_1^0]^2
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

and the decay amplitude is

$$\mathcal{F}_{Rh} = (1 - \beta) \mathcal{F}_1^0 = (1 - \beta) \mathcal{F}_1. \tag{46}$$

Obviously, both the polarized muon's decay amplitude \mathcal{F}_{Lh} and \mathcal{F}_{Rh} are not Lorentz scalar. Comparing Eqs. (44) and (46) with Eq. (38), respectively and considering Eq. (40), we find the polarized muon lifetimes which agree with Eq. (1).

4 Summary and Discussion

The calculation has established that the lifetime of RH polarized muons is greater than that of LH polarized muons in flight. Furthermore, this conclusion is also valid for all fermions in the decays under weak interactions. Hence under the condition of parity violation the lifetime is neither a four-dimensional scalar, nor a scalar under the three-dimensional space inversion. We emphasize here an important concept that a spin state is helicity degenerate and the spin projection operators ρ_\pm can only project out the spin states, but can not project out the helicity states. The above calculation shows that the so-called eigenstate of four-dimensional spin operator given by Eq. (4) is by no means a helicity eigenstate (even we had chosen \mathbf{p} vector along z axis) and this is why the parity violation result, Eq. (1), was overlooked in the past for so long a time even one did not perform the spin average for muons in the laboratory frame. Therefore, the polarized fermions must be expressed by the helicity states which may satisfy the ordinary Dirac equation^[9] and are relevant to physical interpretation and experimental tests. In the helicity states $u_{Lh}(p)$ and $u_{Rh}(p)$, Eqs. (18) and (19), since the charged weak current originates from the LH chirality state only,^[1] the terms corresponding to C_{LR} and C_{RR} do not contribute to fermion decay. The lifetime of polarized fermions depends only on the amplitude C_{LL} or C_{RL} , which is the root cause

of the lifetime asymmetry. In the rest frame, because $C_{LL} = C_{RL} = 1$, the lifetime of LH polarized fermions is equal to that of RH polarized fermions.

The measurements on muon decay used to be performed in its rest frame. They were realized that muons, formed by forward decay in flight of pions inside cyclotron, were stopped in a nuclear emulsion, sulphur, carbon, calcium or polyethylene target. For example, the polarization effects of muon decay were observed using carbon stopping target^[10], in which there is no depolarization of the muons. So far the measurement of the lifetime of polarized muons in flight has not yet been found in literature. Therefore, one actually lacks direct experimental evidence either to support or to refute the lifetime asymmetry. We report it here now in the hope that it may stimulate and encourage further experimental investigations on the question of the lifetime asymmetry in muon decays.

acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Valeri V. Dvoeglazov and Dr. LiMing for their many helpful discussions.

References

- [1] SHI Z. Q. and NI G. J., *Preprint* hep-ph/0202017.
SHI Z. Q. and NI G. J., *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **19**(10), 1427 (2002).
- [2] Itzykson C. and Zuber J. B., *Quantum Field Theory* (New York: Mc Graw-Hill Book Company, 1980), p. 59.
- [3] Frampton P. H. and Tung W. K., *Phys. Rev.* **D3**, 1114 (1971).
- [4] NI G. J. and CHANG T., *Journal of Shaanxi Normal University (Natural Science Edition)* **30**(3), 32 (2002); *Preprint* hep-ph/0103051.
- [5] Lurie D., *Particles and Fields* (New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1968), p. 25.
- [6] Bjorken J. D. and Drell S. D., *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (New York: Mc Graw-Hill Book Company, 1964), p. 263.

- [7] Bailin D., *Weak Interaction* (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1982), Chapter 3; Mandl F. and Shaw G., *Quantum Field Theory* (New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1984), p. 247.
- [8] Kuno Y. and Okada Y. Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 158 (2001); Particle Data Group, Eur. Phys. J. **C15**, 316 (2000).
- [9] Dvoeglazov D. D., *preprint* math-ph/0309002.
- [10] Bardin G., Duclos J., Magnon A., *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B137**, 135 (1984).